October 2007



DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

2006-2007 School Year Reports

Dear School Board Members and School Personnel:

The Maine Comprehensive Assessment System is the State's measure of student progress in achieving the State standards, known as *Learning Results*, adopted by the Maine Legislature in 1997. The Maine Educational Assessment (MEA) is administered in grades 3 through 8 to meet these state assessment requirements. Since the spring of 2006, the SAT Reasoning Test™ (SAT) has been administered to students in their third year of high school in place of the MEA for state and federal purposes. The move from the MEA to the SAT in grade 11 was made to encourage all students in the goal of attaining college and high-level workplace readiness as well as to measure achievement. This year, the mathematics portion of the SAT Reasoning Test™ was augmented with 18 additional mathematics items (the Math-A test) to more fully measure Maine's *Learning Results*. The combined tests form the Maine High School Assessment (MHSA).

Due to the inclusion of the additional items in mathematics, it was necessary to set new achievement level standards for that discipline this year. The new achievement level standards are the result of a comprehensive process informed by Maine teachers and reviewed by advisory committees. The achievement level standards were not changed for the Critical Reading and Writing sections of the MHSA.

These 2006-2007 Maine High School Assessment Summary Reports contain the results of student performance on the SAT in critical reading, mathematics, and writing reported according to the achievement standards described above and disaggregated by student and school characteristics. This report, together with individual student and subject-specific student roster reports, provides support for use in program evaluation and planning. All scores contained in these reports are included for Maine state and federal reporting purposes only. While scores for many students may also be used for college admission, they may not be used for that purpose if a student received accommodations during the test administration that exceeded those made available by the College Board.

These results reflect scores based on SAT and Math-A test questions that were taken by over 15,000 students who were enrolled in their third year of high school across all Maine public schools. The SAT Reasoning Test™ employs a design that requires students to create a written response to a writing prompt, generate answers to open-ended mathematics questions, and select answers to multiple-choice questions. More information about the design, history, and use of the SAT can be found at: http://www.maine.gov/education/sat_initiative/.

I look forward to working with you in support of our continued efforts to improve the quality and effectiveness of the instructional opportunities designed to help all students achieve the high standards of the *Learning Results* and graduate from any Maine high school prepared for college, career, and citizenship.

Susan A. Lendron

Sincerely,

Susan A. Gendron

Commissioner of Education



High School Report

Test Date: May 2007

ID: 13361037

District: Washington Academy

School: Washington Academy

Contents of the Report

The report is divided into five main sections including a section describing the students tested and a separate section for the results in each content area.



Year

SUMMARY OF SCORES


Date: May 2007


District: Washington Academy School: Washington Academy


Summary of School, District, and State Scores


Average Scaled Score

	School	District	State
Critical Reading 2006–2007	1142		1141
Mathematics 2006–2007	1141		1140
Writing 2006–2007	1141		1141











SUMMARY OF STUDENT PARTICIPATION

Date: May 2007

		Er	rol	me	nt¹		CONTENT AREA PARTICIF Critical Reading Mathematics Writin School District State School District State School District)N²					
CATEGORY OF					vindo	w		С	ritical Readi	ing			Math	nematic	s				Wr	iting							
PARTICIPATION	Sc	hool	Dis	trict	St	ate	Scl	hool	District	St	ate	Scl	hool D	istrict	St	ate	Sc	nool	Dis	strict	St	ate	Sc	hool	Dist	trict	State
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N %	N	%	N	% N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N %
Total number of students	67	100			16094	100	65	97		15236	95	65	97		15599	97	65	97			15229	95					
Ethnicity African American	0	0			333	2	0	0		295	89	0	0		308	92	0	0			294	88					
American Indian/Native Alaskan	1	1			91	1	0	0		81	89	0	0		84	92	0	0			81	89					
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	1			226	1	0	0		196	87	0	0		204	90	0	0			193	85					
Hispanic	0	0			140	1	0	0		124	89	0	0		130	93	0	0			124	89					
White	65	97			15304	95	65	100		14540	95	65	100		14873	97	65	100			14537	95					
Not Reported	0	0			0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0			0	0					
Identified disability	6	9			2351	15	6	100		2047	87	6	100		2169	93	6	100			2044	87					
Current LEP	0	0			285	2	0	0		237	83	0	0		250	88	0	0			233	82					
Economically disadvantaged	30	45			3924	24	29	97		3561	91	29	97		3702	94	29	97			3558	91					
Migrant	0	0			1	0	0	0		1	100	0	0		1	100	0	0			1	100					

MODE OF		C	ritical	Readi	ng				Mathe	matic	8				Writing							
	Sc	nool	Dis	strict	Sta	ate	Scl	nool	Dis	trict	St	ate	Scl	nool	District	St	ate	Sc	nool	Dist	rict	State
PARTICIPATION ³	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N %	N	%	N	%	N	%	N %
Participation without accommodations	58	87			13484	84	58	87			13851	86	58	87		13484	84					
Identified disability (PET/IEP)	2	3			743	6	2	3			865	6	2	3		743	6					
LEP	0	0			187	1	0	0			204	1	0	0		187	1					
504 plan	0	0			2	0	0	0			3	0	0	0		2	0					
Participation with accommodations	7	10			1570	10	7	10			1569	10	7	10		1570	10					
Identified disability (PET/IEP)	4	57			1127	72	4	57			1126	72	4	57		1127	72					
LEP	0	0			46	3	0	0			46	3	0	0		46	3					
504 plan	0	0			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0		0	0					
Other	3	43			407	26	3	43			407	26	3	43		407	26					
Participation through alternate assessment (PAAP)	0	0			178	1	0	0			179	1	0	0		175	1					
Identified disability (PET/IEP)	0	0			177	99	0	0			178	99	0	0		174	99					
LEP	0	0			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0		0	0					
504 plan	0	0			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0		0	0					
Approved non-participation in reading – 1st year LEP	0	0			4	0																
Approved non-participation – special consideration	0	0			14	0	0	0			14	0	0	0		14	0					
Non-participation – other	2	3			844	5	2	3			481	3	2	3		851	5					

¹ Percents are the percentage of students enrolled in each participation category.
3 Percents are the percentage of students in each content area by mode.



CRITICAL READING RESULTS

Date: May 2007

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student on state-level assessments in relation to the reading standards for achieving Maine's <i>Learning</i>	•	ST	UDENTS A	AT EACH	ACHIEVE	MENT LEV	'EL
Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling iden	ntified	Sch	nool	Dis	trict	Sta	ite
standards within reading at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to multiple items in an "on demand" setting.	-choice	N	%	N	%	N	%
Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates the ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level by applying a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as the student draws in-depth inferences, analyzes texts for subtle clues, synthesizes information across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to make deeper connections within or across texts to increase comprehension. (scaled score 1161-1180)	2005-2006 2006-2007 Cum. Avg.	3 7 5	4 11 7			1079 1168 1124	7 8 7
Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates the ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level by applying a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as the student draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to increase comprehension. (scaled score 1141-1160)	2005-2006 2006-2007 Cum. Avg.	33 27 30	40 42 40			5697 5714 5706	38 38 38
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level. The student's ability to use a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge varies depending on the texts as s/he draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to support comprehension. (scaled score 1129-1140)	2005-2006 2006-2007 Cum. Avg.	29 22 26	35 34 35			4772 4728 4750	32 31 31
Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's work demonstrates a limited ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level. The student's responses are often incorrect leaving the impression that the student found it difficult to use a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as s/he draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, or uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to support comprehension. (scaled score 1100-1128)	2005-2006 2006-2007 Cum. Avg.	18 9 14	22 14 19			3595 3444 3520	24 23 23



CRITICAL READING RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Date: May 2007

					Sch	nool							Dis	trict					St	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		M		P		D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	65	7	11	27	42	22	34	9	14	1142							15054	8	38	31	23	1141
Ethnicity			İ																			
African American	0																290	2	21	26	52	1131
American Indian/Native Alaskan	0																78	4	28	33	35	1135
Asian/Pacific Islander	0																193	7	33	34	26	1139
Hispanic	0																123	6	28	34	33	1137
White	65	7	11	27	42	22	34	9	14	1142							14370	8	39	31	22	1141
Not Reported	0																0					
Identified disability																						
Yes	6	0	0	0	0	2	33	4	67	1128							1870	1	10	26	63	1127
No	59	7	12	27	46	20	34	5	8	1144							13184	9	42	32	17	1142
Limited English proficient students			İ																			
Current LEP in first year	0																7	0	0	0	100	1122
Current LEP beyond first year	0		İ														226	1	10	25	64	1127
Surrent EET Beyond mot year																					"	''
Economically disadvantaged			İ																			
Yes	29	2	7	9	31	14	48	4	14	1138							3464	3	25	34	37	1134
No	36	5	14	18	50	8	22	5	14	1146							11590	9	42	31	19	1142
B4:																						
Migrant Yes	0																1					
res No	65	7	11	27	42	22	34	9	14	1142							15053	8	38	31	23	1141
NO	65	,	! ''	21	42	22	34	9	14	1142							15055	0	30	31	23	1141
Gender																						
Female	36	1	3	15	42	15	42	5	14	1140							7401	8	40	33	19	1142
Male	29	6	21	12	41	7	24	4	14	1145							7653	8	36	29	27	1140
Not Reported	0																0					
•																						
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes	0																68	1	21	32	46	1131
No	65	7	11	27	42	22	34	9	14	1142							14986	8	38	31	23	1141
Gifted/talented program																						
Yes	0																1					
No	65	7	11	27	42	22	34	9	14	1142							15053	8	38	31	23	1141
10		,	''																			''



MATHEMATICS RESULTS

Date: May 2007

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student's on state-level assessments in relation to the mathematics standards for achieving Maine's <i>Lear</i>	_	STI	JDENTS A	T EACH A	ACHIEVEI	MENT LEV	EL*
Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling ider	ntified	Scl	nool	Dist	trict	Sta	ate
standards within mathematics at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a coof multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" se		N	%	N	%	N	%
Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates in-depth understanding of essential concepts in mathematics, including the ability to make multiple connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to synthesize information, analyze and solve difficult or unfamiliar problems, and apply complex concepts. (scaled score 1161-1180)	2006-2007	1	2			578	4
Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates an understanding of essential concepts in mathematics, including the ability to make connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to reason, analyze and solve problems, and apply concepts. (scaled score 1141-1160)	2006-2007	25	38			5481	36
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates incomplete understanding of essential concepts in mathematics and inconsistent connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate some ability to analyze and solve problems and apply concepts. (scaled score 1133-1140)	2006-2007	23	35			4754	31
Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's work demonstrates limited understanding of essential concepts in mathematics and infrequent or inaccurate connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate minimal ability to solve problems and apply concepts. (scaled score 1100-1132)	2006-2007	16	25			4607	30



MATHEMATICS RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Date: May 2007

					Sch	nool							Dis	trict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		M		P		D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	65	1	2	25	38	23	35	16	25	1141							15420	4	36	31	30	1140
Ethnicity			İ										İ									
African American	0																304	1	13	27	59	1133
American Indian/Native Alaskan	0		İ														81	2	16	42	40	1137
Asian/Pacific Islander	0																204	6	40	25	29	1142
Hispanic	0																129	3	29	25	43	1138
White	65	1	2	25	38	23	35	16	25	1141							14702	4	36	31	29	1141
Not Reported	0																0					
Identified disability								_														
Yes	6	0	0	0	0	1	17	5	83	1131							1991	0	6	18	75	1131
No	59	1	2	25	42	22	37	11	19	1142							13429	4	40	33	23	1142
Limited English proficient students																						
Current LEP in first year	0																7	0	0	0	100	1125
Current LEP beyond first year	0																243	2	14	19	65	1133
•																						
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes	29	0	0	10	34	11	38	8	28	1140							3606	1	20	31	48	1136
No	36	1	3	15	42	12	33	8	22	1141							11814	5	40	31	24	1142
Migrant			İ																			
Yes	0																1					
No	65	1	2	25	38	23	35	16	25	1141							15419	4	36	31	30	1140
_																						
Gender																						
Female	36	1	3	11	31	14	39	10	28	1140							7566	3	35	33	29	1140
Male	29	0	0	14	48	9	31	6	21	1142							7854	5	36	29	31	1141
Not Reported	0																0					
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes	0		į														73	0	14	26	60	1134
No	65	1	2	25	38	23	35	16	25	1141							15347	4	36	31	30	1140
Gifted/talented program			İ																			
Yes	0																1					
No	65	1	2	25	38	23	35	16	25	1141							15419	4	36	31	30	1140
			1		1		-		1				1	1					1	}		1



WRITING RESULTS

Date: May 2007
District: Washington Academy
School: Washington Academy

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student on state-level assessments in relation to the writing standards for achieving Maine's <i>Learning</i>	•	ST	UDENTS A	AT EACH	ACHIEVE	MENT LEV	ÆL
Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling iden		Scl	nool	Dis	trict	Sta	ate
standards within writing at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combin multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting		N	%	N	%	N	%
Exceeds the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate skillful ability to select clear, precise sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity, precision and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates an effectively developed and insightful point of view on the issue and outstanding critical thinking, with clearly appropriate examples, reasons, and other evidence to support a position. The essay is well-organized and clearly focused, demonstrating clear coherence and smooth progression of ideas and free of most errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1161-1180)	2005-2006 2006-2007 Cum. Avg.	4 2 3	5 3 4			952 937 945	6 6 6
Meets the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates an effectively developed point of view on the issue and strong critical thinking, with generally appropriate examples, reasons, and other evidence to support a position. The essay is well-organized and focused, demonstrating coherence and progression of ideas and generally free of most errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1141-1160)	2005-2006 2006-2007 Cum. Avg.	38 31 35	46 48 47			6055 6167 6111	40 41 40
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate inconsistent ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates a developed point of view on the issue and some critical thinking, but may do so inconsistently or with inadequate examples, reasons, or other evidence to support a position. The essay is generally organized and focused, but may demonstrate some lapses in coherence or progression of ideas and may contain errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1129-1140)	2005-2006 2006-2007 Cum. Avg.	23 19 21	28 29 28			4916 4723 4820	32 31 32
Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate limited ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates a vague or seriously limited point of view on the issues and weak critical thinking, with inappropriate or insufficient examples, reasons, or other evidence to support a position. The essay is poorly organized and/or focused and may contain an accumulation of errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics that interfere with understanding the message of the essay. (scaled score 1100-1128)	2005-2006 2006-2007 Cum. Avg.	18 13 16	22 20 21			3221 3227 3224	21 21 21



WRITING RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Date: May 2007

					Sch	ool							Dis	trict					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		М		P		D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	65	2	3	31	48	19	29	13	20	1141							15054	6	41	31	21	1141
Ethnicity			İ											İ								
African American	0																290	1	21	31	47	1132
American Indian/Native Alaskan	0																78	4	29	37	29	1136
Asian/Pacific Islander	0																193	6	31	35	28	1138
Hispanic	0																123	4	30	33	33	1137
White	65	2	3	31	48	19	29	13	20	1141							14370	6	42	31	21	1141
Not Reported	0																0					
	•																					
Identified disability																						
Yes	6	0	0	0	0	2	33	4	67	1126							1870	0	8	27	65	1127
No	59	2	3	31	53	17	29	9	15	1143							13184	7	46	32	15	1143
Limited Fundish modicions students																						
Limited English proficient students																	_				100	4447
Current LEP in first year	0		-														7	0	0	0	100	1117
Current LEP beyond first year	0																226	1	10	25	63	1128
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes	29	1	3	9	31	12	41	7	24	1138							3464	2	26	36	37	1134
No	36	1	3	22	61	7	19	6	17	1144							11590	8	45	30	17	1143
Migrant																						
Yes	0																1					
No	65	2	3	31	48	19	29	13	20	1141							15053	6	41	31	21	1141
Candan																						
Gender	00			40	44	40	00		47	1110							7404	_	40	04	45	1110
Female	36	1	3	16	44	13	36	6	17	1140							7401	7	46	31	15	1143
Male	29	1	3	15	52	6	21	7	24	1143							7653	5	36	32	28	1138
Not Reported	0																0					
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes	0																68	0	15	43	43	1131
No	65	2	3	31	48	19	29	13	20	1141							14986	6	41	31	21	1141
110		-		"		"		"														''''
Gifted/talented program																						
Yes	0																1					
No	65	2	3	31	48	19	29	13	20	1141				1			15053	6	41	31	21	1141
			1											1								